

Here we go again. I am so tired of hearing about the contributions of the "local broadcasters" when it comes to the consumer's ability to receive superior audio/video through a service we are willing to pay for. I went through this charade with satellite TV and got "blocked" when the "local broadcasters" used a 1950's rating system to say I could receive their signal if I installed a "roof top antenna".

So now it starts with XM radio? I hope not. If I am willing to pay for a legal service that a company is providing for a fee, why should we, the consumer, be penalized by a radio station that makes their money by selling "air time" to commercials I have no interest in listening to?

I say leave XM, Cirrus, or any other "pay-for-programming" service alone and let our country's free-enterprise system decide who succeeds and fails in place of who has the strongest lobby in congress.